

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/780,618	02/12/2001	Martin Sommer	SGW-109	9111	
23599	7590 08/25/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN		
SUITE 1400	ADON BLAD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22201		1772		

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/780,618	SOMMER ET AL.	/
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alicia Chevalier	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT II, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice) This action is non-final. r allowance except for formal matte	·	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-29 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,12,23,24 a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,9-11,13-22,25-27 and 29 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	nd 28 is/are withdrawn from considents is/are rejected.	eration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the latest term of the specification is objected to by the latest term of the specific and specific terms of the sp	a) accepted or b) objected to by on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc he correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Applete the priority documents have been real Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-33) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/10/04.)-948) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/780,618

Art Unit: 1772

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Claims 1-7 and 9-29 are pending in the application, claims 7, 12, 23, 24 and 28 are withdrawn from consideration. Claim 8 has been cancelled.

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

2. The 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, rejection of claim 29, made of record in office action mailed March 8, 2004, pages 4-5, paragraph #12 have been withdrawn due to the fact that page 9 of the specification has now been properly scanned into the Image File Wrapper.

REJECTIONS REPEATED

3. The 35 U.S.C. §102 rejection of claims 1-6, 9-11, 13-22, 25-27 and 29 over Hall (US Patent No. 4,135,789) is repeated for reasons previously made of record in office action mailed March 8, 2004, pages 5-7, paragraph #13.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

- 4. Applicant's arguments in the response filed May 10, 2004 regarding the 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, rejection of claim 29 of record have been considered but are most since the rejections have been withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant's arguments in the response filed May 10, 2004 regarding the 35 U.S.C. §102 rejection over Hall of record have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

Applicant is thanked for the missing copy of the excerpt from *Principles of Welding*.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,618

Art Unit: 1772

Applicant argued in the previous response, filed Nov. 26, 2003, that the excerpt from the book titled *Principles of Welding, Processes, Physics, Chemistry* demonstrated the effect on the structure of the materials bonded by a variety of welding techniques. Applicant further argued that the structures of the products obtained by differing welding processes are different.

The Examiner has read the excerpt from <u>Principles of Welding, Processes, Physics</u>,

<u>Chemistry.</u> However, as pointed out in the office action mailed March 8, 2004, method

limitations, such as "bonded together by a pressure weld," do not determine the patentability of
the product, unless the process produces *unexpected results*. The method of forming the product
is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself, unless Applicant presents

evidence from which the Examiner could reasonably conclude that the claimed product differs in
kind from those of the prior art. MPEP 2113.

In the instant application the opening in the brittle-fracture material is sealed with a sealing element to create a hermetic seal (*Applicant's specification page 2, lines 16-20*). Since, Hall discloses that the opening in the molded element is hermetically sealed with a sealing element (*Hall col. 2, lines 45-46 and col. 3, lines 1-9*), there does not appear to be a difference between the prior art article and instant claimed invention resulting from the claimed method.

The excerpt from <u>Principles of Welding, Processes, Physics, Chemistry</u> discusses different types of welds but does not discuss why one is better then the other or what unexpected results would occur in brittle facture material in regard to each type of weld.

Furthermore, Applicant's specification recites "continuous bonding between molded element and sealing element is *preferably* produced by a connecting pressure weld process that is know per se." Therefore, Applicant does not disclose criticality or show unexpected results for

Art Unit: 1772

bonding the sealing element to the molded element via "pressure welds." The process of pressure welding is merely the preferred bonding mechanic to get a hermetic seal.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ac

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1772